

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/045,884	01/09/2002	Thomas Fahrig	Le A 34 992	1196
7590 01/27/2005		EXAMINER		
Jeffrey M. Greenman			WANG, SHENGJUN	
Vice President, Patents and Licensing Bayer Corporation			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
400 Morgan Lane			1617	
West Haven, CT 06516			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/045,884	FAHRIG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Shengjun Wang	1617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 October 2004</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) 1-2 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in A	pplication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage					
application from the International B							
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.					
Attachmont(c)							
Attachment(s) 1) \(\overline{\text{N}} \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗖 Intonious	summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	(8) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —·					

Application/Control Number: 10/045,884 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 12, 2004 has been entered.

Double Patenting Rejections

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,235,774 (IDS), and in further view of The Merck Manual. Claims 3-5 in '774 is directed to a method of treating Parkinson's disease. The compound employed herein is among the five compounds specified in claim 5. Note the rejection is made on assumption that the typographic errors presented in the patent would be corrected. 6,235,774 is a 371 of PCT/EP98/07197 with PCT Pub No. WO99/26621 (in German). Based on WO99/26621, o-"benzenesulphimidyl" employed in '774 should be o-benzoisulphimidyl. Also the drawing of R3 is column 2 is incorrect. As to the

Art Unit: 1617

limitation "treating the motor symptoms associated with Parkinson's disease" herein, note motor symptom in the common symptom for patients with Parkinson's disease. See The Merck Manual, pages 1421-1424. A method for treating underline etiology would have been expected to be beneficial for symptoms caused by the etiology.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fahrig et al. (WO99/26621, IDS), and in further view of the Merck Manual.
- 5. Fahrig et al. teaches a method of treating Parkinson's disease by using aminomethylchromans. The compound employed herein is among the five most preferred compounds. See, particularly, pages 5-8.

Fahrig does not teach particularly the employment of the compounds herein.

1. However, it would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed the invention was made, to employ the compounds herein for treating Parkinson's disease because the compound is one of the most preferred compounds disclosed. As to the limitation "treating the motor symptoms associated with Parkinson's disease" herein, note motor symptom in the common symptom for patients with Parkinson's disease. See The Merck Manual, pages 1421-1424. A method for treating underline etiology would have been expected to be benefit for symptoms caused by the etiology. Further, The instant claims are directed to

Application/Control Number: 10/045,884

Art Unit: 1617

effecting a biochemical pathway with an old and well known compounds. The argument that such claims are not directed to the old and well known ultimate utility (Parkinson's disease) for the compounds are not probative. It is well settled patent law that mode of action elucidation does not impart patentable moment to otherwise old and obvious subject matter. Applicant's attention is directed to In re Swinehart, (169 USPQ 226 at 229) where the Court of Customs and Patent Appeals stated "is elementary that the mere recitation of a newly discovered function or property, inherently possessed by thing in the prior art, does not cause a claim drawn to those things to distinguish over the prior art." In the instant invention, the claims are directed to the ultimate utility (treating patients with Parkinson's disease) set forth in the prior art, albeit distanced by particular symptom. The ultimate utility for the claimed compounds is old and well known rendering the claimed subject matter obvious to the skilled artisan. It would follow therefore that the instant claims are properly rejected under 35 USC 103.

Page 4

Response to the Arguments

Applicants' amendments and remarks submitted October 12, 2004 have been fully considered, but are not persuasive.

Applicants argued that the cited prior art does not teach "treating the motor symptoms."

These arguments have been rebutted in the rejections set forth above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0632. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,884 Page 5

Art Unit: 1617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SHENGJUN WANG
PUMARY EXAMINER

Shengjun Wang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617